UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/598,595	08/17/2007	David Minodier	4976-012	7469
22429 7590 12/30/2009 LOWE HAUPTMAN HAM & BERNER, LLP			EXAMINER	
1700 DIAGONAL ROAD SUITE 300 ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314			JOHN, CLARENCE	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2443	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			12/30/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

<b>Notice of Panel Decision</b>
from Pre-Appeal Brief
Review

Application/Control No.	Applicant(s)/Pate Reexamination	ent under
10/598,595	MINODIER ET AL.	
	Art Unit	
CLARENCE JOHN	2443	

This is in response to the Pre-Appeal	Brief Request for Review filed .			
<ol> <li>Improper Request – The Reason(s):</li> </ol>	Request is improper and a conference will not be held for the following			
☐ The request does not incl	not been filed concurrent with the Pre-Appeal Brief Request. ude reasons why a review is appropriate. s included with the Pre-Appeal Brief request.			
	nse continues to run from the receipt date of the Notice of Appeal or from mmunication, if no Notice of Appeal has been received.			
2. Proceed to Board of Patent Appeals and Interferences – A Pre-Appeal Brief conference has been held. The application remains under appeal because there is at least one actual issue for appeal. Applicant is required to submit an appeal brief in accordance with 37 CFR 41.37. The time period for filing an appeal brief will be reset to be one month from mailing this decision, or the balance of the two-month time period running from the receipt of the notice of appeal, whichever is greater. Further, the time period for filing of the appeal brief is extendible under 37 CFR 1.136 based upon the mail date of this decision or the receipt date of the notice of appeal, as applicable.				
☐ The panel has determine Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from cor				
	conference has been held. The rejection is withdrawn and a Notice of ution on the merits remains closed. No further action is required by			
	conference has been held. The rejection is withdrawn and a new Office action is required by applicant at this time.			
All participants:				
(1) <u>CLARENCE JOHN</u> .	(3)			
(2) <u>TONIA DOLLINGER</u> .	(4)			
/CJ/ Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2443, 12/23/2009	/Tonia LM Dollinger/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2443			